Spectacle and the Dilemma of Motherhood in *Feather Crowns*

Zeng Yuling
School of International Studies, Zhejiang University
Yuhangtang Rd. 668, Xihu District, Hangzhou, 310058, China
Email: zengyuling995@163.com

Abstract Bobbie Ann Mason's *Feather Crowns*, set against the backdrop of the social uproar caused by the birth and premature death of quintuplets in rural Kentucky, demonstrates the ethical pressures and psychological conflicts that arise when the otherwise private experience of motherhood is brought into the public eye. Taking Christie Wheeler's motherhood as the research object, this paper explores how spectacle culture and consumer culture hold the ethics of motherhood hostage at the turn of the 20th century and the maternal dilemma it triggers. The intervention of the spectacle reshapes Christie's perception of motherhood, forcing her into the ethical dilemmas regarding the handling of her quintuplets; while her gradual resistance to the mechanism of the spectacle reflects the awakening and reconstruction of the maternal identity. By presenting the erosion and oppression of motherhood by the spectacle culture, Mason reveals the intervention and rewriting of intimacy by public consumption, and points out that in the spectacle-dominated social landscape, individuals can still reclaim their proper subjectivity through ethical choices.

Keywords *Feather Crowns*; Bobbie Ann Mason; spectacle; motherhood; ethical dilemma

Author **Zeng Yuling** is a Ph.D. candidate at School of International Studies, Zhejiang University (Hangzhou 310058, China). Her academic research focuses on Ethical Literary Criticism and Contemporary English and American Literature.[1]

Introduction

Motherhood is often assumed to be a natural, intimate, and ethically grounded

1 This research is one part of The Special Program of Zhejiang University "Comparative Studies of Languages and Cultures of BRICS Countries: A Perspective of the Global Civilization Initiative"supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

identity, which seemingly insulated from the forces of consumption and spectacle. Yet the spectacularization of private life in modern culture demonstrates that maternal identity is far from immune to commodifying pressures. Bobbie Ann Mason's *Feather Crowns* offers a powerful examination of this collision. Set in early twentieth-century rural Kentucky, the novel recounts the extraordinary birth of quintuplets to Christie Wheeler, a young farm wife, and traces how a private miracle becomes an object of national fascination through expanding networks of mass media and commercial opportunism. As the story of the Wheelers circulates, spectacle becomes not merely a backdrop but a transformative force, which infiltrates the home, redefines family intimacy, and reconfigures Christie's understanding of what it means to a mother.

Previous scholarship has illuminated the novel's critique of consumer culture and small-town sensationalism, most notably Rhonda Jenkins Armstrong's analysis of how spectacle distorts the Wheelers' lives and reshapes the Southern community. While Armstrong has insightfully analyzed *Feather Crowns* as a critique of the culture of spectacle in small-town, her study concentrates primarily on the novel's social and communal dimensions. Building on her work, this article foregrounds the ethical dimension of Christie Wheeler's experience, showing how the spectacularization of the quintuplets not only distorts Southern community life but also destabilizes maternal identity itself. More specifically, this article will address the central ethical question raised by the novel: How does the spectacle of the quintuplets reshape, distort, and destabilize Christie's identity as a mother? and why do her life-and-death decisions emerge in such morally conflicted ways? Christie's maternal experience becomes a crucible in which competing forces, like love and grief, privacy and publicity, duty and display, collide under the weight of spectacle. The novel dramatizes one of the most ethically fraught situations a mother can face: when her children become objects of public consumption.

Therefore, the significance of *Feather Crowns* extends beyond its critique of sensationalized media culture. As Christie and her family become trapped in systems that commodify their story, the logic of consumption enters the intimate spaces of domestic life, reorganizing Christie's maternal identity around visibility, evaluation, and exchange. This study argues that Christie's contradictory responses constitute a narrative inquiry into the ethical instability of motherhood under spectacle. Accordingly, this paper examines the entanglement of spectacle and maternal ethics by addressing the following questions: What is the ethical environment of the spectacle? How does spectacle appropriate and redefine Christie's maternal identity? What does her conflicted subjectivity reveal about the pressures that early twentieth-

century consumer culture exerted on women's maternal roles? Methodologically, this article brings Guy Debord's theory of the spectacle into dialogue with Nie Zhenzhao's Ethical Literary Criticism. While Debord and later cultural theorists illuminate how consumer capitalism converts private life into spectacle, Nie's framework foregrounds the ethical environment and moral dilemmas embedded in literary narratives. By reading *Feather Crowns* through this combined lens, this article repositions *Feather Crowns* as an extended meditation on the moral costs of turning motherhood into a public commodity, because Christie's maternal crisis is not only a sociological effect of spectacle culture but also an ethically charged struggle over love, grief and responsibility.

The Construction of Spectacle and the Reconfiguration of Maternal Identity

The formation of the spectacle is inseparable from its social and historical conditions. As Nie Zhenzhao proposes, "A premise for literary interpretation is to understand literature based on the ethical environment and the ethical context of its particular historical period" ("Ethical Literary Criticism: A Basic Theory" 191). To understand how spectacle reshapes Christie's maternal identity, it is therefore essential to return to the historical and ethical milieu of *Feather Crowns*. The novel is set in a small Southern town at the turn of the twentieth century, a region often depicted as traditional and socially stagnant, since "most literary and historical depictions of the postbellum South emphasize its isolation from national trends in political, economic, and social development" (Matthew R. Hall 259). Yet the sudden national excitement surrounding the quintuplets suggests that the South is not simply "lagging behind." Rather, the cultural and technological shifts of the period create the very conditions necessary for spectacle to emerge. The intense public fascination with Christie's family indicates that the South is already entangled in the expanding circuits of modern consumption and mediated attention, making the construction of the spectacle not only possible but inevitable. Matthew R. Hall points out "national consumption patterns penetrated the region […] New South towns were not just important regional centers of industry and commerce. They were also places where southerners could and did glimpse, take part in, or consume the broader economic and cultural trends of late-nineteenth-century America" (260). Despite the aftershocks of the civil war and economic hardships, the southern society still yearns for all-round renewal at the spiritual level. This modernization drive is not solely dependent on external imposition but is inseparable from the active collaboration of local business elites in the south. As Jackson Lears concludes, "their (local business elites) rhetorical devotion to the 'Lost Cause'

and the supposed glories of the old order were the syrup that made the medicine of modernization go down" (145). Under the impetus of northern capital and the external cooperation of southern merchants, the south had already been involved in the national commercialization and modernization process at the turn of the 20th century, laying the historical foundation for the infiltration of the spectacle culture.

The intrusion of the newspaper *Hopewell Chronicle* marks the novel's first major conversion of private reality into spectacle. Mr. Jenkins from the *Hopewell Chronicle* is the first journalist who intrudes the Wheelers' house, saying "I just want to verify for myself that there was five babies born, so that I can inform our readers of this wondrous event" (103)[1], and only records a series of statistics: "What did they weigh? What hour were they born? What time did Dr. Foote get there?" (116-117) His insistence on quantifiable details reduces the complex emotional and physical labor of childbirth to a list of sensational facts. This fragmentation aligns with Debord's description of spectacular representation. "The images detached from every aspect of life merge into a common stream in which the unity of that life can no longer be recovered. Fragmented views of reality regroup themselves into a new unity a s a separate pseudo-world that can only be looked at" (Debord 7). The newspaper's report exemplifies the fragmented views of reality, "the quintuplets were 'born to a simple country woman and her yeoman farmer husband'" (150). He even describes Christie's six rooms as a humble three-room abode (151). Here the spectacle constructs a world that is, in Debord's words, "a concrete inversion of life, an autonomous movement of the nonliving" (7): present in the public's imagination through the newspaper image, yet absent from the Wheelers' truth. These contents are not derived from on-site observations but are symbols constructed based on the stereotypical expectations of the southern countryside. As Rhonda Jenkins Armstrong states, "the reporter nonetheless writes the story with his 'tourist gaze'—to fit his expectations of a Kentucky farm […] Those aspects that do not correspond to the quintessential landscape he hopes to find are elided or adapted to fit expectations" (42). Thus, through journalistic discourse, the spectacle's first operation emerges clearly—the conversion of life into a consumable visual narrative.

It should be noted that the spectacle phenomenon reflects the essence of Western consumer culture, that is, to stimulate people's desires through the occurrence and dissemination of events. The consumer society creates a culture that affirms desires and indulgences. Desire is no longer the object of fear and

1 See Bobbie Ann Mason, *Feather Crowns*, New York: HarperCollins Publishers, 1993. All references are to this edition and will be cited hereafter in parentheses.

suppression; it has become the driving force for social reproduction and the foundation for promoting economic circulation. In the infinite affirmation of desires, the entire society has confused the distinction between desires and needs. When news of the birth of the quintuplets hit the front pages of various news outlets with the headline "MRS. WHEELER IS BLESSED WITH 5 QUINTUPLETS" (234), the private family event was immediately magnified into the national spotlight and quickly aroused the curiosity of readers, and the farm is swarming with tourists who travel to the farm by train from all directions. The media transformed private life into a commodity of spectacle to be consumed through constant replication and amplification.

If the newspaper coverage initiates the spectacularization of the Wheelers, the mayor's coronation ritual completes it by embedding the family within a public performance of civic authority. The mayor proclaims the Wheelers "the First Family of the city" and "Mother of the Year," declaring that "Mr. and Mrs. Wheeler have brought forth this eighth wonder of the world in the form of five healthy babies at one time" (149). By symbolically crowning Christie and James, he transforms their personal story into an affirming narrative of communal pride. This moment does not merely celebrate the event; it dictates the framework through which the public must interpret it. In line with Debord's assertion that "the spectacle presents itself simultaneously as society itself, as a part of society, and as a means of unification […] the unification it achieves is nothing but an official language of universal separation" (7), the ceremony assigns meaning to the birth, rendering the Wheelers characters in a civic drama rather than agents of their own experience. The ritual thus becomes a form of social scripting, reinforcing hierarchical power by staging it as benevolent and unquestionable. Both the newspaper's sensational coverage of the quintuplets and the mayor's ceremonial coronation of Christie and James illustrate how *Feather Crowns* dramatizes what Guy Debord terms the society of the spectacle. As Debord argues, "the spectacle is not a collection of images; it is a social relation between people that is mediated by images" (7). In such a society, everyday life becomes increasingly mediated by images and symbolic performances that obscure lived experience.

Meanwhile, all individuals and groups that contribute to the dissemination, promotion and commercialization of the event, including media people, businesspeople, citizens and visitors, have become active participants in this popular culture, jointly promoting the generation and maintenance of the spectacle. As the spectacle was constantly magnified, the participation of local merchants became a key force in promoting the commercialization of the event. As Matthew R. Hall

suggests, “merchants had a central role on both national and local levels” (261). The scale of the event is rapidly magnified, and the addition of goods become an indispensable part of this spectacle. Just as depicted in the novel: “the merchants are getting together to provide supplies for you in this unusual time, Mrs. Wheeler. You’re going to need extra goods, and your neighbors, the citizens of Hopewell, are rallying to the cause” (149). This unity is not merely about mutual assistance among neighbors, but more like a carefully planned social performance. Under the guise of sympathy and assistance, the logic of business quietly intervenes, and social order is reconstructed by the discourse of spectacle. Merchants from all walks of life are taking active actions, trying to secure a place in this sudden public event: “They’re getting together a whole wagonload of goods—hams and meal and sugar and coal oil, and even furniture from MacNeill’s. Five little beds” (149). These goods are not merely daily necessities; they are symbols of the image of the products and the identity of the enterprises. They are packaged as expressions of care and dedication, but in fact, they form a spectacular display under the logic of capitalism.

Christie’s shifting maternal identity becomes most visible when consumer goods begin to arrive at her home, signaling the first major intrusion of the spectacle into her private world. The delivery of these items does not simply ease her family’s poverty. It initiates a narrative in which her motherhood is recast as an object of public investment, desire, and control. When she watches the wagons of merchandise pull in, filled with “Fancy goods, novelties like those in the wishbook. Maybe even playtoys and games and slates and books for school” (150), she is confronted with a domestic vision that is not of her own making. What appears before her is not the life she has longed for but an idealized scene produced by outside forces. The goods she “could never have afforded” highlight the class divide and, more importantly, expose her growing loss of agency within a system that turns her maternal life into a consumable story.

This moment marks Christie’s entrance into a consumer-driven narrative in which her identity as a mother is gradually shaped by visibility, novelty, and public fascination. The attention directed at the quintuplets does not arise from genuine compassion. It grows out of a desire to aestheticize and commodify the extraordinary. As the flow of commodities increases, these objects mediate the meaning of her experience and dilute the emotional depth of the quintuplets’ birth. A personal and sacred event is quietly transformed into something meant to be observed, discussed, and consumed by strangers. Christie becomes both a recipient of public generosity and a central figure in a national display. In this position, she is drawn into what resembles a collective wishbook, where her maternal role is used to

sustain social fantasies and commercial interests.

From the earliest days of the quintuplets' lives, the spectacle begins to redefine Christie's understanding of motherhood. Her identity is no longer grounded in intimate, private bonds but is shaped through material intrusions and cultural expectations introduced from the outside. The spectacle infiltrates the routines of her home, sets the conditions under which she performs her maternal responsibilities, and gradually alters her sense of herself. In this way, the spectacle becomes more than an external pressure. It acts as a force that transforms her maternal subjectivity into a public artifact, something to be admired, appropriated, and used for collective consumption.

The Intrusion of Spectacle and Maternal Ethical Dilemma

The intrusion of the tourist gaze converts the Wheelers' home from a protected domestic space into a site organized for public viewing. According to John Urry, the tourist gaze is directed to the features "which separate them off from everyday experience, such aspects are viewed because they are taken to be in some sense out of the ordinary" (3). Thus, it reconfigures ordinary spaces into landscapes of spectacle, inviting visitors to collect visual experiences as if they were commodities. Christie realizes that home is no longer a safe haven but an exhibition hall under the gaze. She "felt paralyzed. The crowd filled her house […] She felt naked, like a picked chicken. And she was speechless. But the people supplied the talk" (166). The intrusion of spectacle not only redefines the meaning of domestic space but also places Christie in a profound ethical dilemma. An ethical dilemma is "an unresolvable contradiction or conflict brought about by an ethical disorder to a character" (Nie Zhenzhao, *Introduction to Ethical Literary Criticism* 258). Christie is caught between the tourist gaze and her motherhood. Her maternal responsibilities require privacy, care, and emotional presence, yet the spectacle demands exposure, accessibility, and visibility. The home, once the center of her maternal authority, becomes a stage upon which her identity is evaluated and consumed. What she confronts is not simply embarrassment or anxiety. She confronts a deeper erosion of her ability to act as a mother according to her own values. The visitors' presence forces Christie to negotiate between two competing ethical demands: the need to protect her children and the pressure to accommodate the public curiosity that overwhelms her household. Her silence, discomfort, and sense of nakedness reflect this struggle. She is caught between intimacy and exposure, care and display, maternal responsibility and public expectation. The spectacle reshapes her ethical landscape, leaving her increasingly uncertain about how to act and whom she is

acting for.

The establishment of donation fees and later a ticket system marks the institutionalization of curiosity, signaling a shift from communal interaction to market rationality. While financial pressure motivates James to adopt his uncle's donation-box idea, the transformation it initiates is structural rather than incidental. Christie is very uneasy about this potential risk, "I know we need the money. But they scared me, busting in here like that. I believe those train people would have busted the door down […] But that many people swooping in here around the babies—it ain't good for 'em." (171). Christie states "I don't know, Wad. "These babies ain't mules" (172). Her objection reveals her awareness of the risk that human beings will be reduced to economic functions. Once the babies become objects through which value circulates, their existence begins to operate within an economic logic that exceeds their biological reality. The donation box serves as the initial mechanism through which spectators convert affective responses into monetary offerings. When this evolves into a formal ticketing system, the family is fully integrated into the circuits of commodity culture. This institutionalization of visitation aligns with Guy Debord's concept of "vicious circle of isolation": "the goods that the spectacular system chooses to produce also serve it as weapons for constantly reinforcing the conditions that engender 'lonely crowds'" (15). Under this logic, private life becomes a consumable attraction, subject to the market's control over access, value, and meaning. The ticketing system transforms the intimate family home into a site of regulated consumption, where spectators' engagement is no longer spontaneous but mediated through economic exchange. What begins as human curiosity and affective response is converted into a transactional relationship, demonstrating how the spectacle structures social interaction, objectifies private experience, and enforces a hierarchy between the observer and the observed.

Christie's maternal labor, such as feeding, soothing, and hosting visitors, becomes performative under the public gaze. She is no longer a private caregiver but a symbol, a spectacle whose actions are evaluated for both authenticity and entertainment. When she overhears men discussing finances, the narration underscores her new position: "This time she was the business" (172). The gaze now encompasses not only the quintuplets but also Christie herself, judging her clothing, demeanor, and emotional composure. In this process, her identity as a mother is reconstructed externally: she is cast as the idealized maternal figure through which spectators negotiate fantasies of rural life, fertility, and moral virtue. Urry's observation that the tourist gaze depends on display and difference reinforces this dynamic: "minimally there must be certain aspects of the place to be visited

which distinguish it from what is conventionally encountered in everyday life" (12). Christie's subjectivity is increasingly constrained, her interiority obscured, as her maternal identity is defined less by her own intentions or feelings than by her role within a commodified spectacle.

Christie's maternal dilemma intensifies with the tragic deaths of her quintuplets. Each child's passing forces her to make extraordinary ethical decisions, revealing how thoroughly the logic of spectacle has intruded upon traditional maternal values. After the death of the first child, Sam Mullins, the local funeral director, suggested using modern embalming techniques to preserve the body. As the quintuplets died one by one, Christie ultimately consents to have them embalmed. The children are placed in glass containers for permanent preservation. Christie agrees to embalm her quintuplets largely because of the overwhelming pressure of public attention and the demands of the spectacle. Each death is no longer a purely private tragedy; it becomes a matter of communal fascination, news coverage, and cultural expectation. The local funeral director proposes preservation techniques, and Christie, while instinctively protective of her children, feels compelled to consent. She recognizes that refusal might provoke criticism, pity, or even ridicule, and that the public already treats her children as objects to be displayed. Her agreement reflects a negotiation between her maternal instincts and the social forces reshaping her family's private grief into public spectacle. The maternal dilemma revealed here is profound. On one level, Christie wants to honor her children's lives and deaths with privacy, respect, and emotional authenticity. On another level, she is confronted with an external logic that treats her children as consumable objects and her grief as part of a public performance. Embalming the children ensures their preservation for the spectacle, but it also objectifies them permanently, turning a deeply personal maternal experience into an instrument of public consumption. Her consent, therefore, exposes the ethical tension between maternal love and societal expectation, demonstrating how the intrusion of spectacle can distort and compromise maternal agency.

Furthermore, their funeral, staged like a grand show with spectators consuming the tragedy, forces Christie to confront the painful reality that her children are being treated as objects rather than as human beings she is responsible for. "When those electric lights flickered on, Christie had had the impression that the deaths of her children were a grand show at an opera house. Amidst all the sorrow and tears surrounding her, she noticed the little beam of happiness on Thomas's face. And then she thought she saw it on everyone's face—a faint little tinge of excitement and wonder and privilege, as the town shared in a tragic drama" (265). Christie clearly

recognizes the essence of public viewing. The public's attention to the quintuplets is not out of genuine sympathy, but rather a kind of participatory consumption that seeks meaning and a sense of sublimity through the spectacle. This is exactly the tourist gaze, which "must be out of the ordinary. People must experience particularly distinct pleasures which involve different senses or are on a different scale from those typically encountered in everyday life" (Urry 12), as visitors observe the embalmed children as objects of fascination rather than subjects of mourning.

Despite this, when McCain proposed holding a traveling exhibition in the name of education, Christie still held a glimmer of rational hope. She and her husband readily agreed to the suggestion, "it would be for the public good if they could present the truth to the audiences in their own words, so that if such an event should hap- pen again the world would know what to expect" (304). She hopes to tell the true story through this exhibition to awaken the public's reflection on the essence of viewing behavior. In Christie's mind, exhibiting the quintuplets could serve a maternal purpose. By telling the story herself, she could protect the children's memory, assert some control over the narrative, and potentially guide the public toward understanding rather than mere consumption. Her consent reflects a complicated negotiation between preserving maternal authority and confronting the inevitability of public intrusion. However, she soon discovered that the essence of commercial exhibitions is not to disseminate knowledge or convey sadness, but rather to be a landscape machine that arouses curiosity, stimulates sensory stimulation and generates profits. It can be seen here that consumerism is not simply profit-inducing; it also satisfies human desires in the name of morality or education. When the boundaries between the desire for knowledge and the desire for curiosity become blurred, when the boundaries between private and public interests become ambiguous, consumerism has already put on the garb of morality and science and cleverly justified itself.

In this context, Christie's maternal intention to mediate the public gaze and protect her children's dignity is systematically undermined. The exhibition exposes the tension between private maternal care and the coercive logic of spectacle. Even as she acts in what she believes is the ethical interest of her children, the system of consumer display reconfigures her maternal identity, transforming her protective instincts into a performative role within the public spectacle.

Resistance of Spectacle and the Reclamation of Motherhood

The southbound exhibition represents the extreme consequences of consumer-driven spectacle, where private life, grief, and ethical significance are subordinated

to public curiosity. To her disappointment, at the first stop of the southbound exhibition, the Nashville Opera House, Christie is overwhelmed by the public gaze again. "The stage was hot and dark. When the curtain parted, a flood of light hit her face. Dimly, she could make out the audience, shrouded in darkness. Electric lights flickered along the side walls and above the stage. It felt so strange, to be in a windowless room, full of people, at night, with false lights beaming all over her. James was sitting far away from her, the babies a barrier between them" (326). The quintuplets in the glass bottle, along with their parents sitting on either side, form a highly materialized spectacle, becoming objects for people to look at. Under this cold light, the audience come forward in an orderly manner, observing carefully and commenting on the exhibits before them. This scene exemplifies the spectacle's social function, which "is the concrete manufacture of alienation. Economic expansion consists primarily of the expansion of this particular sector of industrial production. The 'growth' generated by an economy developing for its own sake can be nothing other than a growth of the very alienation that was at its origin" (Debord 16). The audience's desire to watch overwhelms empathetic engagement, producing an alienating environment in which lives become consumable objects.

The touring exhibition intensifies Christie's maternal dilemma, but it also catalyzes the emergence of active resistance. The repetition of public scrutiny recalls her earlier experiences at home. As the spectators file past the embalmed quintuplets, "their casual utterances and their eagerness seemed so familiar. Christie was thrown back into the spring, when a multitude of strangers crowded around her bed, train passengers smothering her with their attention. She felt light-headed" (328). What she confronts here is not simply repetition but intensification. The babies, now "frozen in death as a wonder," become pure objects of display, stripped even of the fragile autonomy they possessed in life. Their material preservation in glass bottles renders their objectification total: they cannot resist, and Christie cannot protect them. As Debord writes, "The alienation of the spectator, which reinforces the contemplated objects that result from his own unconscious activity, works like this: The more he contemplates, the less he lives; the more he identifies with the dominant images of need, the less he understands his own life and his own desires" (16). The spectators who move past the display exemplify this dynamic. Their desire to see overrides any possibility of ethical engagement. Their fascination paradoxically distances them not only from Christie's suffering but also from their own capacity for empathy; they consume the scene rather than inhabit it. This relentless viewing pressure drives Christie to the edge of psychological collapse. Confronted with the same extractive gaze that once invaded her domestic space,

now amplified by electric lights, stage settings, and institutional authorization,she erupts in a cry of anguish: “My babies was wooled to death—pure and simple, by people just like you. But now nobody can touch ’em” (328). Her outburst is an attempt to disrupt the spectators’ passive consumption, to force recognition of the harm their gaze has enacted. Yet no one responds. The crowd simply continues forward, their desire for spectacle overpowering any potential for moral reckoning. The silence that meets Christie’s accusation underscores the depth of their alienation: in the spectacle, the need to look overwhelms the ability to hear.

Christie’s reflection on the public’s behavior exposes the ethical limits of commodification and the failure of spectacle to promote understanding. She finally realized strongly that the exhibition not only failed to prompt the public to reflect on the consumption of wonders, but also exacerbated the secondary harm to children. During the later touring exhibition, she even raised a question, “But why don’t they listen? Yesterday Greenberry McCain was trying to tell one bunch that come through about what happened to the babies and why they died, but nobody was paying any attention to a word he said. They just wanted to gawk at the babies” (358). In her view, the quintuplets have long been detached from the context of real life and have become containers of words for others to express themselves, project their emotions and satisfy their curiosity, rather than being lives that need mourning and respect. This is because “consumption is a system of exchange, and the equivalent of a language” (Baudrillard 60), which emphasizes that modern society engages primarily with meanings, symbols, and social messages embedded in objects, rather than their practical utility. In the context of the exhibition, the quintuplets and even Christie herself are consumed not as living beings, but as signs of wonder, rarity, and spectacle. Visitors are more interested in what the children represent, such as the extraordinary event, social status, or novelty, rather than in their actual lives or suffering. This symbolic consumption explains why the public’s fascination neither produces empathy nor ethical reflection; instead, it reinforces the objectification of the children. The exhibition transforms human life into a language of signs, and the more the audience interprets these signs for personal curiosity or social display, the greater the secondary harm inflicted on the children’s dignity. It was precisely in this continuous state of visual oppression and emotional deprivation that Christie gradually developed a firm sense of resistance. She no longer believes that exhibitions can shoulder the responsibility of educating the public, nor does she fantasize that telling the truth can evoke empathy.

The subsequent refusal to participate in the exhibition marks Christie’s deliberate reclamation of maternal identity. She finally decided to end the touring

exhibition and send the children's body to a scientific research institute. This choice is not only an attempt to move closer to scientific rationality, but also reflects her defense of the dignity of motherhood. This decision allows her to reassert the moral and emotional boundaries that had been violated by public spectacle. Although this decision cannot eliminate the trauma caused by the past gaze and objectification, it marks Christie's effort to rebuild her subjectivity and motherhood in the face of consumption logic. She refused to let the quintuplets remain trapped in the exhibition cycle forever and refused to continue to serve as the mother of wonders, complementing the extension of others' emotions and imagination. With the last sober choice of a mother, she attempts to regain the due respect and the right to mourn, restoring her child to a dignified life rather than an exhibition item that never fades under the public gaze. Her choice restores her children's dignity, protects her own maternal authority, and signals that ethical agency is possible even within systems designed to subsume personal identity. This act of resistance marks a crucial turning point: although past objectification cannot be undone, she consciously separates herself from the logic of spectacle, restoring the moral and emotional boundaries violated by public consumption. In this way, the narrative demonstrates not only the pervasive power of consumption to alienate, but also the potential for individuals to reclaim selfhood and ethical authority, even within systems designed to subsume it.

Christie's resistance demonstrates the dual dynamics of spectacle. While consumption culture can alienate and objectify, it also produces the conditions for ethical intervention and reclamation. Christie and her children become materially and symbolically objectified, stripped of agency and intimacy under the persistent gaze of audiences. Her repeated encounters with public observation reveal the relentless pressures imposed by consumption culture, which commodifies both bodies and emotions, reducing private grief to public spectacle. The audience's indifference to explanation or mourning exposes the insidious nature of this gaze, that is the desire to consume overwhelms empathy. Yet, Christie's eventual resistance signals the potential for reclaiming subjectivity. Through her ethical choice, Christie reasserts her subjectivity and restores the moral authority of motherhood, illustrating that agency and dignity can be reclaimed even under pervasive forces of commodification.

Conclusion

Feather Crowns presents motherhood as an ethical practice shaped and constrained by the forces of spectacle and consumer culture. Through Christie's experience,

the novel reveals how public attention transforms domestic life into a site of display, where maternal care and grief are subjected to external evaluation and consumption. Spectacle operates not only as a mechanism of consumption but also as a form of ethical pressure that weakens maternal subjectivity and reshapes ethical choices. Christie's repeated compliance with public demands, alongside her growing unease, illustrates the instability of maternal identity under conditions of sustained public scrutiny. The novel ultimately locates ethical significance in refusal. Christie's decision to terminate the touring exhibition signals a reassertion of maternal responsibility and an effort to restore boundaries between private loss and public curiosity. This act does not undo the damage produced by spectacle, but it marks a recovery of ethical agency within a system designed to absorb and neutralize resistance. Therefore, *Feather Crowns* offers a sustained reflection on the moral costs of commodifying intimacy and grief. Its portrayal of motherhood under spectacle remains instructive for understanding how contemporary media environments continue to frame maternal experience as both consumable and morally exposed.

Works Cited

Armstrong, Rhonda Jenkins. "Transformational Spectacle in Bobbie Ann Mason's *Feather Crowns*." *The Southern Literary Journal*, vol.45, no.1, 2012, pp. 39-55.

Baudrillard, Jean. *The Consumer Society: Myths and Structures*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd, 1998.

Debord, Guy. *Society of the Spectacle*, translated by Ken Knabb. London: Rebel Press, 2005.

Hall, Matthew R. "The Reliable Grocer: Consumerism in a New South Town, 1875-1900." *The North Carolina Historical Review*, vol.90, no.3, 2013, pp. 259-287.

Lears, Jackson. *Rebirth of a Nation: The Making of Modern America, 1877-1920*. New York: HarperCollins, 2009.

Mason, Bobbie Ann. *Feather Crowns*. New York: Harper Collins Publishers, 1993.

聂珍钊：《文学伦理学批评导论》。北京：北京大学出版社，2014 年。

[Nie Zhenzhao. *Introduction to Ethical Literary Criticism*. Beijing: Peking UP, 2014.]

—. "Ethical Literary Criticism: A Basic Theory." *Forum for World Literature Studies*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2021, pp. 189-207.

Urry, John. *The Tourist Gaze*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd, 2002.